

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

				and the second s	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	١.
08/384,248	02/06/95	ALIZON	М	3495.0008-08	

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT AND DUNNER 1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315 EXAMINER
PARKIN, J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1648

DATE MAILED:

03/03/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PTO-90C (REV. 2/95)

Application No. 08/384,248

Applicant(s)

Alizon et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1648



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Jan 1998	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure t application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 23 and 26-33	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 26-31	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproveddisapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	25 U.C.O. \$ 110(a) /d\
 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority to ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of 	
received.	the priority documents have been
☐ received.	nber) .
received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	4.5
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	(s)
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8 '
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

 Serial No.: 08/384,248
 Docket No.: 3495.0008-08

 Applicants: Alizon et al.
 Filing Date: 02/06/95

Response to Amendment Filed Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.129

37 C.F.R. § 1.129(a)

1. Since this application is eligible for the transitional procedure of 37 C.F.R. § 1.129(a), and the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(r) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action is hereby withdrawn pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.129(a). Applicants' submission after final filed on 26 November, 1998, has been entered. Claims 23, 32, and 33 are currently pending while claims 26-31 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

2. The previous rejection of claims 23, 32, and 33 under 35 U.S.C. \$ 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hobson et al. (1985) in view of Hurn et al. (1980), is hereby withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 23, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In re Rasmussen, 650 F.2d 1212, 211 U.S.P.Q. 323 (C.C.P.A. 1981). In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 U.S.P.Q. 90 (C.C.P.A. 1976). The claimed

Serial No.: 08/384,248 Applicants: Alizon et al.

invention is directed toward methods for the production of antibodies to HIV-1 antigens encoded by three λ -J19 restriction fragments (e.g., KpnI (~6,100)/BglII (~9,150); KpnI (~3,500)/BglII (~6,500); and, PstI (~800)/KpnI (3,500)). Presumably these restriction fragments correspond to the gag, pol, and env genes. The disclosure does not provide the nucleotide sequences of any of these restriction fragments, evidence that bona fide viral antigens were produced from said fragments, and evidence that antigen-specific antibodies were produced.

5

10

15

20

25

30

The written description requirement under Section 112, first paragraph, stipulates that the claimed subject matter must be supported by an adequate written description that is sufficient to enable anyone skilled in the art to make and use the invention. courts have decided that the specification must demonstrate that the inventor had possession of the claimed invention as of the filing date relied upon. Although the claimed subject matter need not be described identically, nonetheless, the disclosure relied upon must convey to those skilled in the art that applicants had invented the _subject_matter claimed._ Ralston Purina Company v. Far-Mar-Co., Inc., 227 U.S.P.Q. 177 (C.A.F.C. 1985). In re Wilder, et al., 222 U.S.P.Q. In re Wertheim, et al., 191 U.S.P.Q. 90 369 (C.A.F.C. 1984). (C.C.P.A. 1976). In re Blaser, Germscheid, and Worms, 194 U.S.P.Q. 122 (C.C.P.A. 1977). In re Driscoll, 195 U.S.P.Q. 434 (C.C.P.A. 1977). Utter v. Hiraga, 6 U.S.P.Q.2d 1709 (C.A.F.C. 1988).

This rejection is not based upon enablement considerations. The Examiner does not dispute the scientific findings that the skilled artisan, at the time of filing, provided with a restriction fragment capable of encoding a known antigen, could express and purify the antigen of interest and employ this protein to generate antigenspecific antibodies. This rejection is based upon the inability of the disclosure to reasonably convey to the skilled artisan that applicants were in possession of the claimed HIV-1 antigens and

Serial No.: 08/384,248 Applicants: Alizon et al.

antibodies at the time of the filing date relied upon. The specification fails to provide any demonstrative evidence that applicants had generated expression vectors containing the claimed inserts, transfected suitable hosts, and produced suitable levels of recombinant HIV-1 proteins. Moreover, the disclosure fails to provide any evidence suggesting that these antigens were used to immunize animals and that HIV-1-specific antibodies were actually generated. Applicants may obviate this rejection by providing the appropriate scientific documentation.

10

15

20

25

30

35

5

Correspondence

- 5. The Art Unit location of your application in the Patent and Trademark Office has changed. To facilitate the correlation of related papers and documents for this application, all future correspondence should be directed to **art unit 1648**.
- 6. Correspondence related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Official communications should be directed toward one of the following Group 1600 fax numbers: (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014. Informal communications may be submitted directly to the Examiner through the following fax number: (703) 305-7939. Applicants are encouraged to notify the Examiner prior to the submission of such documents to facilitate their expeditious processing and entry.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-2227. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald E. Adams, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-0570. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Respectfully,

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

LAURIE SCHEINER

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 1648 28 February, 1998